Carbon isotope fractionation of dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) due to outgassing of carbon dioxide from a headwater stream
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Abstract:
The stable isotopic composition of dissolved inorganic carbon ($^{13}$C-DIC) was investigated as a potential tracer of streamflow generation processes at the Sleepers River Research Watershed, Vermont, USA. Downstream sampling showed $^{13}$C-DIC increased between 3–5‰ from the stream source to the outlet weir approximately 0.5 km downstream, concomitant with increasing pH and decreasing PCO$_2$. An increase in $^{13}$C-DIC of 2.4 ± 0.1‰ per log unit decrease of excess PCO$_2$ (stream PCO$_2$ normalized to atmospheric PCO$_2$) was observed from downstream transect data collected during snowmelt. Isotopic fractionation of DIC due to CO$_2$ outgassing rather than exchange with atmospheric CO$_2$ may be the primary cause of increased $^{13}$C-DIC values downstream when PCO$_2$ of surface freshwater exceeds twice the atmospheric CO$_2$ concentration. Although CO$_2$ outgassing caused a general increase in stream $^{13}$C-DIC values, points of localized groundwater seepage into the stream were identified by decreases in $^{13}$C-DIC and increases in DIC concentration of the stream water superimposed upon the general downstream trend. In addition, comparison between snowmelt, early spring and summer seasons showed that DIC is flushed from shallow groundwater flowpaths during snowmelt and is replaced by a greater proportion of DIC derived from soil CO$_2$ during the early spring growing season. Thus, in spite of effects from CO$_2$ outgassing, $^{13}$C-DIC of DIC can be a useful indicator of groundwater additions to headwater streams and a tracer of carbon dynamics in catchments. Copyright © 2007 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.
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INTRODUCTION

Processes that control carbon fluxes into and out of terrestrial ecosystems are currently receiving particular attention due to the role of the carbon cycle in providing positive feedbacks toward global climate change (e.g. Cox et al., 2000; Friedlingstein et al., 2001). Much work has focused on the transfer of CO$_2$ between the atmosphere, soils, and vegetation (Cramer et al., 2001); however, the links between hydrological processes and biogeochemical processes controlling CO$_2$ fluxes in these ecosystems remain ill-defined (Billett et al., 2004). Outgassing of CO$_2$ from surface waters is recognized as a significant component of the terrestrial carbon flux (Kling et al., 1992; Hope et al., 2001; Richey et al., 2002; Billett et al., 2004). Other studies have shown CO$_2$ loss from surface waters occurs across a wide range of surface water environments (e.g. Kling et al., 1991; Pinol and Avila, 1992; Cole et al., 1994; Ohte et al., 1995; Jones and Mulholland, 1998; Cole and Caraco, 2001; Jones et al., 2003).

Nonetheless, relatively few studies have used stable isotopes of dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) to specifically address the factors that control carbon fluxes in rivers (e.g. Taylor and Fox, 1996; Yang et al., 1996; Atek-wuna and Krishnamurthy, 1998; Amiotte-Suchet et al., 1999; Aucourt et al., 1999; Karim and Veizer, 2000; Hélie et al., 2002; Mayorga et al., 2005), and fewer still in headwater catchment streams where potential for CO$_2$ loss is greatest (e.g. Kendall et al., 1992; Amiotte-Suchet et al., 1999; Palmer et al., 2001; Finlay, 2003).

In the present study, we present a high-resolution dataset of $^{13}$C-DIC values of DIC ($^{13}$C-DIC) collected from a small headwater forested watershed. The initial goal of the study was to use $^{13}$C-DIC as a tracer for quantifying soil water and groundwater contributions to streamflow. As we discovered, CO$_2$ outgassing greatly impacted the $^{13}$C values of stream DIC, thus complicating the use of this parameter as a tracer for streamflow generation studies while instead providing a tool for investigating CO$_2$ dynamics in streams.
STUDY SITE AND BACKGROUND

The Sleepers River Research Watershed is located in northeast Vermont, USA (http://nh.water.usgs.gov/projects/sleepers). The entire watershed consists of a series of nested catchments of varying area. This study was conducted in catchment W9 (an area of 41 ha) and its subcatchments (Figure 1). Catchment W9 is completely forested by northern hardwoods, dominated by sugar maple, yellow birch, white ash and American beech, with less than 5% conifers including balsam fir and red spruce.

The watershed lithology is predominantly metamorphic calcareous granofels interbedded with schist and shale with minor calcite (the Waits River Formation). The calcareous rich units contain approximately 50% calcite (minor dolomite) and they make up slightly less than half the formation (Hall, 1959). Overlying the bedrock is a mantle of dense basal till derived from the bedrock host which varies in thickness from 1–4 m (Shanley et al., 2003). The till is depleted of carbonate in the upper surface as a result of weathering but retains carbonate in its lower horizons (Kendall et al., 1999). Thus, the lower till and bedrock are the primary sources of stream and groundwater alkalinity in the catchment, the latter being as high as 20 mg Cl– as DIC. On top of the till is an organic-rich soil of varying thickness locally classified as an inceptisol. This soil has numerous root casts and other enlarged preferential flow pathways permitting rapid stream response to recharge events (Hjerdt, 2002). Seepage into streams occurs predominantly along these preferential flow paths.

Dissolved organic carbon (DOC) has been shown to be a useful tracer of water flow paths through shallow soil horizons in this watershed (McGlynn et al., 1999; Hjerdt, 2002), and the stable oxygen isotopic composition...
(δ18O) of water has proved to be an effective tracer for quantifying sources of streamflow generation in this catchment (Shanley et al., 2002a). Thus, DOC and δ18O of water were measured in addition to DIC and δ13C-DIC as supplemental tracers of streamflow generation in this study.

The relation between groundwater levels and stream discharge during recharge events at the W9 subcatchment B was investigated in detail by Hjerdt (2002). Conclusions from this work were that (1) groundwater levels in wells in geomorphic depressions with shallow water tables responded more rapidly to recharge than wells on planar hillslopes; (2) the groundwater in the geomorphic depressions consistently displayed chemistry that was most similar to stream chemistry during recharge events; and (3) the stream in the uppermost portion of the catchment was fed primarily by shallow groundwater, which differed only slightly in chemical composition from the stream water composition measured in the lower portion of the catchment. The difference was attributed to the influence of direct precipitation, soil water and overland flow entering the stream downslope.

In a previous study at Sleepers River that utilized carbon isotopes for tracing stream flow generation, Bullen and Kendall (1998) identified two predominant flowpaths in the upper catchment surface that contribute to the stream chemistry: shallow flow through the carbonate-free soil zone (predominantly the organic horizon) with low δ13C, and deeper flow through less-weathered soil and till with higher δ13C. They concluded that the relative contributions of water from different flowpaths have a significant effect on stream δ13C-DIC, and that contributions of shallow unsaturated zone waters to stream flow during storm events increase with greater catchment soil thickness.

In light of these observations, one conceptual model for streamflow generation in this catchment is that shallow groundwater derived from geomorphic depressions in headwater reaches sets the stream chemistry at its origin, and changes in stream chemistry between the headwaters and catchment outlet are caused primarily by contributions of water from fast-flow pathways routed through riparian zones or via direct overland flow (McGlynn et al., 1999; Shanley and Chalmers, 1999). The goal of this study was to test whether δ13C-DIC would be a useful tracer of soil water and groundwater to facilitate quantitative assessment of these contributions to streamflow.

**SAMPLING AND ANALYTICAL METHODS**

Water sampling began prior to the snowmelt events of 2003 and 2004 and continued throughout both snowmelt periods. Stream water samples were collected along reaches of flowing water. Groundwater samples were collected from single and nested wells located in a variety of hillslope and riparian environments across the subcatchment W9B (Figure 1). Depths of wells ranged from 2–4 m; all wells were screened at an interval of 1-74 m from the base upward. Soil water was sampled with zero-tension lysimeters at two locations (midslope and upslope) along the main hillslope in the W9B catchment. Depths of the lysimeters were 13, 43 and 140 cm for the midslope site and 10 and 46 cm at the upslope site. Groundwater and soil water samples were collected using a peristaltic pump; the wells and lysimeters were purged once to dryness and allowed to refill overnight prior to sampling. Measurements of pH and temperature were obtained in the field using a Mettler Toledo model MP120 pH meter (±0.01 pH unit precision) and newly purchased probes (any use of trade, firm or product names is for descriptive purposes only and does not imply endorsement by the US Government). The meter was calibrated using pH 4.0 and pH 7.0 buffers each day prior to field use. Normal pH stabilization time when measuring samples in the field was 15–20 seconds.

Water samples for carbon isotope analysis of DIC were either collected manually or with an automatic water sampler. Samples obtained by autosampler (1 litre) were open to the atmosphere for up to 24 hrs before manual collection. Manually collected water was pulled into a 60 ml syringe and filtered through a binder-free 0.7 μm glass fibre syringe filter cartridge (Whatman Puradisc™ 25 GF/F) into 40 ml clear (for DIC) or amber (for DOC) pre-cleaned glass vials with septa caps. The vials for DIC were baked at 450 °C in a muffle furnace for at least 4 hours in order to remove traces of organic contaminants from the glass, and were triple rinsed with filtered samples in the field before filling. Syringe filters were flushed with 5–10 ml of sample water before filling all vials. The DIC sample vials were filled until a positive meniscus formed, then tightly capped so that no headspace or bubbles remained. The DOC samples were collected leaving approximately 1–2 ml of headspace. Both DIC and DOC samples were stored chilled between 0–5 °C until analysis, which took place within two months of sample collection.

Many authors have used HgCl2 as a preservative for DIC carbon isotope samples in order to prevent biological activity in the sample; however, we elected not to use mercury due to the risk of compromising ongoing mercury studies in this watershed (e.g. Shanley et al., 2002b). As an alternative, 5–10 mg of solid copper (II) sulphate pentahydrate (J.T. Baker Inc., analytical reagent grade) was added to each vial prior to sample collection. Winslow et al. (2001) demonstrated the efficacy of copper sulphate as an inhibitor of biological activity in comparison to several other preservatives, showing sample preservation with copper sulphate to be as good as that with mercuric chloride.

We conducted an independent holding-time test for DIC preservation on some stream samples treated with HgCl2, CuSO4, CuCl2 and no preservative. The samples were collected among actively-growing filamentous algae along a reach of San Francisquito Creek in Palo Alto, CA. Six replicates of each treatment were collected; three were analysed the day after collection, and the other three were held chilled and in the dark for 9 months.
before analysis. All samples were filtered through 0.2 μm polysulfone syringe filters. After storage, all samples exhibited an increase in δ13C-DIC of approximately 0.25 per mil (on the order of the analytical error), except for those treated with CuCl2 which exhibited precipitation of a copper carbonate phase and a decrease of approximately 0.5 per mil in δ13C-DIC. There was no statistically significant difference between the samples preserved with HgCl2 and CuSO4; curiously, there was also no significant difference between the unpreserved samples and those preserved with HgCl2 and CuSO4. Our conclusion is that filtering through 0.2 micron filters is the best means to guard against fractionation of DIC by biological activity in stream samples. However, adding CuSO4 as a preservative may provide an added degree of precaution. Isotopic analysis of NaHCO3 standard solutions containing copper sulphate indicated that the copper sulphate had no effect upon the concentration nor isotopic composition of the DIC in solution outside of the precision of measurement (±0.2 mg C l−1 for DIC, and ±0.3‰ for δ13C-DIC, n > 30). DIC and DOC samples were collected and analysed separately due to DIC samples being preserved with copper sulphate. DOC samples were filtered and stored chilled with no preservative added.

Concentrations and carbon isotopic composition of DIC and DOC were obtained using an OI Analytical 1010 TIC/TOC analyser interfaced with a GV IsoPrime™ continuous flow mass spectrometer according to a method modified after St Jean (2003). In this automated method, the sealed sample vials collected in the field are loaded directly into the autosampler of the TIC/TOC analyser. During analysis, a double-holed needle pierces the septa and a peristaltic pump pulls an aliquot of the sample from the base of the vial into a volumetric loop. As the liquid sample is pumped out of the vial, the headspace created in the vial is replaced with helium gas; however, a partial vacuum remains in the vial headspace. A flow of helium pushes the sample aliquot into a digestion chamber, whereupon 2 μl of 5% phosphoric acid is injected into the chamber. The chamber is heated to 90 °C and the sample is allowed to react for 2 min, after which the gas produced is purged out of the reaction vessel, dried through Nafion tubing and Drierite water trap and passed through the calibrated IR detector for CO2 concentration measurement. The CO2 pulse is then carried through a Hayasep Q® gas chromatography (GC) column for separation from other permanent gases, through a quartz tube containing 40 g cleaned native copper and 10 g silvered-cobalt heated to 65 °C, and finally through a magnesium perchlorate water trap before being introduced into the source of the mass spectrometer. The CO2 pulse is then collected with the Hayasep Q® gas chromatography (GC) column for separation from other permanent gases, and the combusted sample vials (0.04 ± 0.01 mg C l−1, n = 10) were collected in glass vials with Polyseal cone-lined caps. Analyses of δ18O of water were performed on a Finnigan MAT 251 mass spectrometer by the CO2 equilibration method (Epstein and Mayeda, 1953). Analytical precision (1σ) was less than ±0.05‰. Water δ18O values were normalized and reported relative to the Vienna Standard Mean Ocean Water (VSMOW) scale.

Unfiltered water samples for δ18O of water analysis were collected in glass vials with Polyseal cone-lined caps. Analyses of δ18O of water were performed on a Finnigan MAT 251 mass spectrometer by the CO2 equilibration method (Epstein and Mayeda, 1953). Analytical precision (1σ) was less than ±0.05‰. Water δ18O values were normalized and reported relative to the Vienna Standard Mean Ocean Water (VSMOW) scale.

Samples for cation and anion analyses were syringe-filtered using 0.45 μm membrane filters and the unacidified samples were kept chilled prior to analysis. Filters were flushed with 5–10 ml of sample prior to collecting sample. Bottles were Nalgene high-density polyethylene which had been triple soaked in de-ionized water prior to being brought into the field, and were triple-rinsed with filtered sample water before capping. Cations were analysed by ICP-OES at the State University of New York College of Environmental Science and Forestry, Syracuse, NY (SUNY-ESF). Anions were analysed by ion chromatography at SUNY-ESF.

Copyright © 2007 John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.
RESULTS

Snowmelt sampling of 2003 and 2004

The chemical and isotopic data collected during the snowmelt of 2003 are presented in time series in Figure 2 and the chemical and isotopic data collected during the snowmelt of 2004 are displayed in Figure 3. Snowmelt of 2003 began in late March, and occurred in two distinct phases. Most of the runoff occurred in the first phase, which exhibited a typical interval of diurnal melt pulses. Well hydrographs indicate that the onset of catchment-wide groundwater response to snowmelt began around March 18. The wells and lysimeters were all sampled on 24–25 March 2003 during a period of rising groundwater levels. As a result, the water sampled from wells and lysimeters likely represent mixtures of fresh snowmelt and water released from soil and shallow groundwater storage. A comparison of the chemical and isotopic compositions of stream water, groundwater and soil water shows that the water chemistry was generally similar between the snowmelt periods of 2003 and 2004 despite very different snowmelt progression. In 2003, a single large peak event dominated snowmelt while in 2004 snowmelt was prolonged and the peak discharges were relatively damped.

Variable mixing between soil water and groundwater end-members in the stream water is evident in a plot of $\delta^{18}O$ versus DIC concentration for stream water samples collected at the W9 weir during snowmelt of 2003 and 2004 (Figure 4a). However, $\delta^{18}O$ of water is a conservative parameter while the $\delta^{13}C$-DIC is not. When $\delta^{18}O$ of water is plotted against $\delta^{13}C$-DIC, the stream water samples do not plot between the soil water and groundwater fields as would be expected (Figure 4b). The stream water samples have $\delta^{13}C$-DIC values higher than both soil water and groundwater end-members, indicating non-conservative behaviour in the carbon isotopes of DIC. To investigate the causes of the apparent non-conservative behaviour of $\delta^{13}C$-DIC, we sampled along downstream transects in addition to the weirs during snowmelt of the following year (2004).

Two downstream sampling transects were conducted in the headwaters of subwatershed B in April of 2004, from the initial stream seep in BX to the subcatchment...
Figure 3. Data from the snowmelt period of 2004 for samples collected at the W9 weir. Chemical and isotopic data show similar ranges and variability with discharge as during the 2003 snowmelt period, although more damped. Arrows indicate the time of downstream transect sampling along stream W9BX.

Downstream transect samples were collected on 9 April and 17 April 2004; samples were collected after an initial snowmelt pulse had passed, but before and after the peak snowmelt discharge. Discharge was slightly greater during the second transect sampling (Figure 3). As with the data from the catchment outlet weir W9 (Figure 4), the transect data fall between values of local shallow groundwater in a plot of DIC versus δ18O (Figure 5a); however, the δ13C-DIC values of the stream water again lie above a theoretical mixing line between these groundwaters on a plot of δ13C-DIC versus δ18O (Figure 5b).

Measurement of pH in 2004 allowed for the estimation of PCO2 of the water samples to be calculated according to the relationship:

\[
\frac{C_T\alpha_0}{K_H} = PCO_2
\]

where \(C_T\) is the total DIC concentration (mol C l\(^{-1}\)) in the sample, \(\alpha_0\) is the ionization fraction between CO2 and H2CO3 (Stumm and Morgan, 1981) and \(K_H\) is the Henry’s Law equilibrium constant for CO2 in water. Since \(K_H\) is temperature-dependent, its value was estimated from the temperature of the sample at the time of collection using the relationships given in Telmer and Veizer (1999).

Excess PCO2 (ePCO2) is the ratio of the PCO2 in the sample calculated from field-determined pH and temperature to that of the atmosphere (Neal, 1988). The ePCO2 value is thus a multiplicative factor of the atmospheric concentration; a value of ePCO2 of 20 indicates the water sample has a PCO2 20 times higher than that of the atmosphere. The greatest source of error in the calculation of ePCO2 is the pH measurement. Based upon a pH measurement error of ±0.02 pH units, the error on the calculated ePCO2 values is 8% or less. The atmospheric value of CO2 concentration used for the calculation was 378 ppmV.
All water samples for which $PCO_2$ could be calculated exhibited excess $PCO_2$. The $ePCO_2$ values of groundwater collected in 2004 were variable, ranging from 4–74 with a mean of 24.5. However, the $ePCO_2$ values of groundwater in hollows immediately above the stream origins in BX and BY were nearly identical, at 22 and 21 respectively. In spite of the high variability in DIC concentrations and $ePCO_2$ values, the $\delta^{13}C$-DIC values of the groundwater were relatively consistent, with a mean value of $-13.4 \pm 1.4\%$ ($n = 8$). Lysimeter soil water exhibited lower average DIC (1.6 mg C l$^{-1}$), $ePCO_2$ (4.8), and $\delta^{13}C$-DIC ($-21.5 \pm 2.3\%$, $n = 3$) than groundwater. Stream water collected at the W9 weir in 2004 exhibited $ePCO_2$ values ranging from 1.3–8.2.

A steady increase in pH with downstream distance was observed for both transects, except for a decrease in pH at 180 m downstream where an ephemeral tributary seep enters the stream (Figure 6). The $\delta^{13}C$-DIC values from both sets of transect samples also show a general increase downstream, and the values were remarkably similar in both sampling periods.

Higher Ca$^{2+}$ concentrations correspond to higher DIC concentrations in the groundwater within the immediate vicinity of the stream, indicating that carbonate weathering is a primary source of DIC (Figure 7a). Although the stream transect samples do not exhibit a strong trend in Ca$^{2+}$, the data suggest an overall downstream increase resulting from mixing between sources similar to the BX and BY well waters. There was no evidence of calcium carbonate precipitation due to CO$_2$ loss that would have resulted in a downstream decrease in Ca$^{2+}$ concentration; the stream water was calculated to be below calcite saturation at all times.

Higher DIC concentrations in the transect samples correspond to lower $\delta^{13}C$-DIC values (Figure 7b). However, two sample locations are of note: one taken from the well immediately above the stream, and the other taken from the seep at the stream origin. These two samples do not exhibit $^{13}C$ enrichment of DIC from CO$_2$ outgassing as they were collected from a source that was still in contact with soil CO$_2$. Thus, these samples fall outside the downstream transect trend of increasing $\delta^{13}C$-DIC with DIC loss, and instead may define a trend of more conservative mixing in the subsurface.

For both 2004 downstream transects, $\delta^{13}C$-DIC increased as $ePCO_2$ decreased (Figure 8a). The downstream transect samples collected during lower flow on 9

---

**Figure 4.** $\delta^{18}O$ of water, DIC, and $\delta^{13}C$-DIC for samples collected during snowmelt at the W9 weir in 2003 and 2004: (a) $\delta^{18}O$ of water versus DIC concentration and (b) $\delta^{18}O$ of water versus $\delta^{13}C$-DIC. Data encircled in (b) illustrate departure from the mixing trend observed in (a).

**Figure 5.** $\delta^{18}O$ of water, DIC and $\delta^{13}C$-DIC for downstream transect samples collected in 2004: (a) $\delta^{18}O$ of water versus DIC concentration and (b) $\delta^{18}O$ of water versus $\delta^{13}C$-DIC.
April (transect 1) exhibited higher ePCO₂ than the samples taken on 17 April (transect 2) when flow was slightly greater. The downstream relation between δ¹³C-DIC and ePCO₂ is approximated by an exponential decay function. Plotting δ¹³C-DIC against the natural log of ePCO₂, an ordinary least squares linear regression reveals strong correlations for both transect 1 (r² = 0.96) and transect 2 (r² = 0.90); the slope of each regression line is nearly identical between each set of transect data (Figure 8b). According to those slopes, the increase in δ¹³C-DIC per log unit decrease of ePCO₂ for transects 1 and 2 were 2.3‰ and 2.4‰, respectively.

Seasonal trends

Downstream transects were also sampled in June and July, 2003. Downstream increases in δ¹³C-DIC were greater and more rapid in the summer months than during the snowmelt periods (Figure 9). The transect samples collected in June and July showed an increase in δ¹³C-DIC of 3‰ within the first 20 m of stream flow, while in the snowmelt period a 3‰ increase in δ¹³C-DIC took place over a distance of 450 m. The stream samples collected in June were consistently higher in δ¹³C-DIC than the stream samples collected in July, although the July samples had greater DIC concentrations.

DIC concentrations in stream samples collected in early and mid-summer (June and July) are lower than those collected during snowmelt (April), while δ¹³C-DIC span similar ranges (Figure 10). The seep at the BX stream origin consistently shows the lowest δ¹³C-DIC of the stream transect samples; the stream samples collected in June show the highest δ¹³C-DIC values.

DISCUSSION

Sources of DIC to catchment waters

The rationale for using the carbon isotope value of DIC as a tracer of stream-flow processes stems from the large δ¹³C difference generally observed between soil waters which obtain most of their DIC from respired soil CO₂, and groundwater which obtains DIC from a mixture of soil CO₂ and carbonate minerals (Deines et al., 1974).
Due to this difference (often of the order 10%), the δ¹³C of DIC can discriminate between soil water and groundwater contributions to streamflow during recharge events (Kendall et al., 1992). At Sleepers River, concentrations of DIC in groundwater are consistently the highest measured in the catchment, while DIC concentrations in soil waters are lower. Thus, the DIC concentration itself is a relatively good indicator of variable contributions from soil water and groundwater in this catchment, as is Ca²⁺ concentration.

A pattern of decreased concentrations of DIC in stream water during the peak snowmelt returning to higher concentrations during flow recession indicates that contributions of water derived from shallow flowpaths through the soil and riparian zones increase as stream discharge increases; this interpretation is supported by the increases in DOC concentrations with increased runoff (Figure 2 and Figure 3). Peaks in DOC concentration were consistent with peaks in stream discharge throughout both snowmelt periods.

Carbonate dissolution is a primary source of Ca²⁺ and DIC to the groundwater of this catchment. Carbonate dissolution mainly occurs in groundwater within the lower till (Bullen and Kendall, 1998) by reaction with weak carbonic acid resulting from soil CO₂ in solution, whereby half of the DIC in solution (as HCO₃⁻) is derived from the calcium carbonate and half from the soil CO₂. In an open system, the PCO₂ of the soil will establish equilibrium with the solution and the fraction of DIC derived from soil CO₂ will generally be greater than 50%. As a result, there is an excess of CO₂ in the well waters compared to that which would be expected solely due to carbonic acid weathering of carbonate at chemical equilibrium, evidenced by elevated ePCO₂ and low δ¹³C of DIC values in groundwater.
Figure 10. (a) April samples have higher DIC than June and July samples, yet a similar range in $\delta^{13}$C-DIC values. The seep at the stream origin consistently has lower $\delta^{13}$C-DIC values than the stream in all seasons. (b) The June samples show the lowest DIC and conductivity of the stream waters and the highest $\delta^{13}$C-DIC values, resulting from rapid outgassing of CO$_2$ at the stream source and at other discrete groundwater inputs (see Figure 9). Samples collected in mid-July show the lowest measured $\delta^{13}$C-DIC values of the stream.

Carbon isotope composition of soil water and groundwater DIC

The carbon isotope evolution of DIC in water depends upon whether the water is an open or closed system with respect to soil CO$_2$ (Deines et al., 1974). Under theoretical open-system conditions, the fluid maintains chemical and isotopic equilibrium with an infinite reservoir of soil gas, whereas under closed-system conditions the fluid is removed from contact with this infinite gas reservoir at some point during its chemical evolution. Both conditions assume water residence times are long enough to achieve significant isotopic exchange, which generally holds true in groundwater due to fast reaction kinetics in the carbonate system relative to residence times (Deines et al., 1974). If the water is in contact with carbonate minerals such as calcite, then dissolution of the carbonate takes place. Continued isotopic exchange with soil CO$_2$ under open system conditions will drive the $\delta^{13}$C values of DIC toward the more negative values of soil CO$_2$. Therefore, $\delta^{13}$C values of DIC in soil and groundwater generally reflect a mixture of carbonate mineral dissolution and soil CO$_2$.

Soil CO$_2$ results from the heterotrophic oxidation of soil organic matter as well as from respiration from plant roots. Both of these processes occur with negligible isotopic discrimination between the organic matter substrate and the CO$_2$ produced (Park and Epstein, 1961; Lin and Ehleringer, 1997). Thus, $\delta^{13}$C of CO$_2$ produced by respiration in the soil will be approximately equivalent to $\delta^{13}$C of the predominant organic matter. The Sleepers River Watershed is dominated by C$_3$ vegetation, and the isotopic composition of the soil organic matter falls in the range $-23‰$ to $-30‰$ with an average value of $-27‰$. Measurements of soil CO$_2$ made in the summer of 1991 show $\delta^{13}$C of soil CO$_2$ was $-21.4‰$ at a depth of 30 cm and $-22.2‰$ at a depth of 120 cm (unpublished USGS data). These values reflect the dominant C$_3$ vegetation in the watershed, with some C$_3$ enrichment.

Several processes can potentially increase the $\delta^{13}$C value of the soil CO$_2$: (1) exchange with atmospheric CO$_2$; (2) diffusion of CO$_2$ out of the soil; or (3) $^{13}$C enrichment of the organic matter source due to decomposition. Exchange with atmospheric CO$_2$ will enrich the bulk soil CO$_2$ in $^{13}$C, since the $\delta^{13}$C value of atmospheric CO$_2$ is approximately $-8‰$. Diffusion of CO$_2$ through the soil surface may also result in fractionation on the order of $+4-4‰$ (Cerling et al., 1991; Amundson et al., 1998). This process, however, is dependent upon the rate of soil respiration and the concentration gradient of CO$_2$ within the soil, which in winter months is lower than in summer (Rightmire, 1978; Davidson, 1995). Another possibility is that the soil organic matter source for respired CO$_2$ has itself been enriched in $^{13}$C due to decomposition at depth (Davidson, 1995; Poage and Feng, 2004). At Sleepers River, the $^{13}$C enrichment of the soil CO$_2$ is minor and does not preclude the use of the $\delta^{13}$C of soil CO$_2$ as a means of distinguishing between carbon sources to DIC in this system.

The $\delta^{13}$C value of a native calcite sample from the Sleepers River watershed was determined to be $-2‰$ (Bullen and Kendall, 1998). Taking the $\delta^{13}$C of soil CO$_2$ to be $-22‰$, DIC in groundwater produced solely through carbonic acid weathering of calcite should have a $\delta^{13}$C-DIC of $-12‰$ at equilibrium, obtaining half of the DIC from calcite and half from dissolved CO$_2$. However, most of the groundwater sampled across the watershed exhibits $\delta^{13}$C-DIC values of $-13-0‰$ or lower. Based upon the measured $\delta^{13}$C-DIC isotopic compositions of the groundwater in 2003, the average calculated soil CO$_2$
contribution to groundwater DIC in this catchment is greater than 60%. Thus, δ^{13}C-DIC values of well waters reflect an excess of CO₂ from the soil as expected.

**Downstream transects**

We observe strong, rapid increases in δ^{13}C-DIC between the point of initial seepage into the stream and short distances downstream. Our interpretation that downstream increases in δ^{13}C-DIC are due to outgassing of CO₂ is based upon several lines of evidence. First, the calculated ePCO₂ steadily decreases with distance downstream, indicating that CO₂ evasion is occurring (Figure 8). DIC in subsurface waters that flow into streams is not in chemical and isotopic equilibrium with the atmosphere because CO₂ concentrations in the soil zone can be 20–100 times higher than the atmospheric concentration (Cosby et al., 1985). In this study, the shallow groundwater in hollows immediately upstream of the BX stream source during the 2004 snowmelt had ePCO₂ values of 20. As a result, CO₂ is lost to the atmosphere as this shallow groundwater seeps into the open channel. Other studies have documented shifts of δ^{13}C-DIC of up to 5‰ as a result of CO₂ outgassing at carbonate springs (Michaelis et al., 1985) and in acidic headwater catchments (Palmer et al., 2001).

Dissolution of carbonate minerals might also result in increases in δ^{13}C-DIC with corresponding increases in Ca²⁺ concentrations. However, we observe an inverse relation between Ca²⁺ concentrations and δ^{13}C-DIC in our downstream transect samples (Figure 7). Localized decreases in δ^{13}C-DIC with increasing DIC and Ca²⁺ concentrations is evidence of rising groundwater entering the stream along shallow flow paths, contributing a source of DIC to the stream that has a δ^{13}C signature more negative than the stream water itself. In spite of these groundwater inputs, the δ^{13}C-DIC of the stream water continues to become more positive with decreasing ePCO₂ (Figure 8).

In order to test for mixing of waters with elevated δ^{13}C values accounting for the downstream increase in δ^{13}C-DIC, we compared the mixing relation between δ^{18}O and DIC to that between δ^{18}O and δ^{13}C-DIC of the transect samples. We find a linear mixing relation between δ^{18}O and DIC, indicating soil water and shallow groundwater from hollows as the end members (Figure 5a). However, the δ^{13}C-DIC values all fall above the mixing line (Figure 5b). This indicates that mixing between waters is not the cause of the elevated δ^{13}C values, and lends further support to the hypothesis that CO₂ outgassing results in increases in the δ^{13}C-DIC.

**Seasonal trends and flushing of DIC**

Two transects taken along the same stream reach in the spring and summer months of 2003 also exhibit downstream ^{13}C enrichment of DIC (Figure 9). In comparison with the winter sampling, the spring and summer sampling shows that the increase in δ^{13}C-DIC is more rapid and pronounced close to the stream source. For example, in July 2003 an increase in δ^{13}C-DIC of nearly 4‰ occurred within the first 20 m of stream flow, whereas an increase of only 1‰ was observed over a similar distance in the snowmelt period of 2004. This is likely the result of the greater production of soil CO₂ during the warm growing season that results in a higher PCO₂ contrast between the soil zone and the atmosphere. Thus, CO₂ outgassing from the stream is expected to be more pronounced in the warmer months than during the colder months.

The greater production of soil CO₂ also results in more negative δ^{13}C-DIC values of the shallow groundwater at the stream origin. However, it is interesting to note that δ^{13}C-DIC values of the samples collected further downstream in the early summer (June) were the highest measured among the transects (Figure 10). In addition to DIC, the conductivity values of the June samples were the lowest of any measured, indicating that much of the ionic species associated with groundwater had been flushed from the flow paths supplying water to the stream. The more dilute waters at the start of the growing season would then acquire DIC primarily from soil CO₂, rather than from groundwater, resulting in the very low δ^{13}C-DIC value measured at the seep (2‰ lower than the seep values measured in April or July). Although we lack the pH data necessary to determine the PCO₂ of the June and July samples, we hypothesize that a greater proportion of the DIC in the June samples would have been in the form of CO₂ than in the July or snowmelt samples. When this water seeped out into the stream channel, it would thus have experienced the most pronounced CO₂ outgassing, and resulted in the highest δ^{13}C-DIC values we observe.

**Effect of CO₂ outgassing on δ^{13}C-DIC**

CO₂ will diffuse out of waters when the PCO₂ of the solution is greater than that of the ambient atmosphere. As CO₂ is lost, there is a concomitant shift in the isotopic composition of the DIC remaining in solution. Zhang et al. (1995) reported minimal (1‰) kinetic isotopic fractionation during outgassing of CO₂ from water; Usdowski and Hoefs (1990) reported a similar result for the kinetic absorption of CO₂ by water. These studies were conducted in closed systems under low pH conditions (<4) such that the majority of the DIC species were as dissolved CO₂. However, Marlier and O’Leary (1984) determined the kinetic carbon isotope fractionation factor for the dehydration of bicarbonate (HCO₃⁻ → CO₂) to be α = 1 0147 in an open system experiment. The per mil fractionation ε, as defined by

\[ ε = (α - 1) \times 1000 \]  

is therefore ε_{HCO₃⁻\rightarrow CO₂} = 14.7‰ at 24°C. This is approximately 7‰ greater than the equilibrium value of ε_{HCO₃⁻\rightarrow CO₂} = 8‰ reported by several others (Mook et al., 1974; Zhang et al., 1995; Szaran, 1997). Moreover, Herczeg and Fairbanks (1987) observed a similar magnitude of carbon isotope fractionation (~13‰) during absorption of atmospheric CO₂ by highly photosynthetic
lake water at pH ∼ 9.5. Thus, under open-system conditions favourable for the rapid exchange of CO₂ at pH 6.5–8 (where HCO₃⁻ is the dominant carbonate species), the change in δ¹³C-DIC due to the dynamic exchange of CO₂ between gas and water is greater than that expected at chemical and isotopic equilibrium.

Hendy (1971) describes in detail the geochemical reactions and accompanying isotopic fractionation during CO₂ outgassing and concomitant calcium carbonate precipitation. Michaels et al. (1985) concluded that this process is best described by a kinetic model rather than by Rayleigh-type fractionation. Although the precipitation of calcium carbonate is not detected in the stream studied here, the works of Hendy (1971) and Michaels et al. (1985) are still relevant because the strong carbon isotope fractionation between dissolved CO₂ and HCO₃⁻ occurs independent of carbonate mineral precipitation.

The kinetics of this process are controlled by: (1) the diffusion of CO₂ through the upper surface layer at the water/air interface; and (2) conversion of HCO₃⁻ to CO₂ as the loss of CO₂ is compensated through the ionic balance of the carbonate species. These two processes are dependent upon temperature, pH, the PCO₂ gradient between the water and the atmosphere with which it is in contact, and turbulence of flow.

Hendy (1971) concluded that isotopic fractionation of HCO₃⁻ due to CO₂ loss becomes significant when the PCO₂ of the solution is greater than twice that of the surrounding atmosphere. In our study, we found that δ¹³C-DIC values increased ∼3‰ with decreasing PCO₂ from an initial ePCO₂ of 5·9 down to ePCO₂ of 1·9 (Figures 8a, 17 April transect). Since the PCO₂ of the soil zone is often much greater than twice the PCO₂ of the atmosphere, an increase in δ¹³C-DIC due to CO₂ outgassing is likely to occur in the majority of settings where water exits the subsurface and flows into the open atmosphere.

**Alternative interpretations of increasing downstream δ¹³C-DIC values**

Several authors have postulated that carbon isotope exchange with atmospheric CO₂ (δ¹³C ≈ −8‰) is partly responsible for the increasing downstream δ¹³C-DIC values that they have measured in stream and river waters (e.g. Taylor and Fox, 1996; Yang et al., 1996; Atekwana and Krishnamurthy, 1998; Amiotte-Suchet et al., 1999; Aucour et al., 1999; Karim and Veizer, 2000; Hélé et al., 2002; Mayorga et al., 2005). However, in areas where CO₂ outgassing occurs, isotopic equilibrium between dissolved CO₂ and atmospheric CO₂ can only be attained after chemical equilibrium has been achieved. Thus, if a chemical drive for CO₂ evasion exists, the isotopic composition of the DIC will be primarily affected by the outgassing of CO₂ rather than by carbon isotope exchange accompanying CO₂ invasion from the atmosphere.

A second common interpretation of a downstream increase in δ¹³C-DIC values is that DIC uptake through growth by aquatic plants enriches the remaining DIC in ¹³C (e.g. Hellings et al., 2001). This effect is certainly probable where aquatic photosynthesis is high. However, in the stream we studied at Sleepers River this is an unlikely interpretation, as we did not observe evidence of high aquatic photosynthesis. Since the stream was cold during snowmelt (<8 °C) and was light-limited and low in nutrients during June and July, in-stream photosynthesis is not likely to have exerted a strong influence over the DIC in this headwater catchment setting. Moreover, the greatest δ¹³C-DIC increases we observed occurred within the first 10–20 m of flowing reaches (in the absence of pools or large riffles), or immediately downstream of a point of groundwater influx, thus supporting our interpretation of CO₂ outgassing.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The stable isotopic composition of dissolved inorganic carbon (δ¹³C-DIC) was studied as a potential tracer of streamflow generation processes during snowmelt at the Sleepers River Research Watershed, VT. We measured δ¹³C-DIC in order to distinguish between soil water and groundwater end-members in stream water samples; however, the δ¹³C-DIC of stream water did not fall along a mixing line between the soil water and groundwater compositions. In all of the stream water samples, the δ¹³C-DIC compositions were more positive than would be expected from mixing alone. The explanation for this ‘re-setting’ of the stream water δ¹³C-DIC from the point of seepage is that outgassing of CO₂ causes an increase in δ¹³C-DIC. Evidence for this exists at the origin of the stream (BX), where the emerging groundwater exhibits a positive shift in δ¹³C-DIC ranging between 1 and 4‰ over a distance of a few tens of metres. In the summer months, the effect is enhanced due to probable higher PCO₂ contrast between the soil and the atmosphere that would result in a more rapid and pronounced increase in δ¹³C-DIC downstream.

In spite of the CO₂ outgassing effect on δ¹³C of DIC, discrete areas of shallow groundwater seepage entering the stream channel are identifiable by decreases in the δ¹³C-DIC of the stream water and corresponding increases in DIC concentration during snowmelt. Thus, δ¹³C-DIC of stream water can be used as an indicator of zones of groundwater inputs in headwater streams where high PCO₂ contrast exists between the groundwater and stream water, and perhaps in larger streams and rivers as well. Moreover, we show that contributions of soil CO₂ to stream DIC vary under changing seasonal flow conditions, and we hypothesize that snowmelt events flush DIC from flowpaths to streams such that more dilute post-melt waters in the early growing season carry a greater proportion of their DIC as soil CO₂. Seasonal variability in soil zone PCO₂ will have an effect on the δ¹³C-DIC of stream water that will be overprinted by the increasing δ¹³C values due to outgassing, but is nonetheless an observable trend.

We have found that the isotopic composition of DIC in streams may be rapidly offset by as much as 4‰
from the theoretical equilibrium condition for waters that flow between open-system environments of contrasting 
PCO2. Such settings include groundwater springs and seeps, seepage waters in caves, geothermal waters and headwater catchment streams. Ambiguity as to whether atmospheric CO2 exchange or outgassing of CO2 is the cause of high δ13C-DIC values in surface waters can be overcome by determining the excess PCO2 of the water; isotopic exchange with atmospheric CO2 is unlikely to be a significant cause of increased δ13C-DIC for waters with PCO2 values greater than twice that of the surrounding atmosphere. Rather, isotopic fractionation of DIC due to outgassing of CO2 ought to be considered in future investigations that utilize δ13C-DIC for studying biogeochemical processes in surface waters.
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