Abstract.—There is considerable data in the literature on the diet of the Ural Owl (Strix uralensis) based on pellet analysis. Though it is possible to identify prey items by this method, the volume of food consumption is still unknown. The population of Ural Owls in Japan is declining due to the reduction of old-growth forest and the concurrent loss of natural nest cavities in older trees. More information, including dietary requirements, is needed to conserve suitable habitat and manage for this species. In this study, ingested food and cast pellet mass were quantified to determine if food consumption could be predicted from pellet mass for captive Ural Owls. Three caged adult owls were acclimatized for 2 months. Three common and natural Ural Owl prey species were fed to the captive owls: Microtus montebelli, Apodemus speciosus, and A. argenteus. There was no significant difference in the caloric value for each prey species used. Therefore, the body weight (mass) of prey species was considered a suitable index of food energy. The energy intake by owls was positively correlated with dry pellet weight: estimated calorie intake (kcal) = 33.82 x dry pellet mass (g) + 7.38. The influence of owl activity and seasonal changes in energy budgets on this relationship need to be determined.

METHODS

Three healthy, but permanently crippled adult Ural Owls, obtained from a rehabilitation center at Niigata, Japan, were used in this experiment after acclimatization for 2 months. The owls were kept in small cages (1.5 x 1.5 x 1 m), and fed weighed amounts of the common and natural prey species at a fixed time (17:00 p.m.) daily. The prey species used in this study were Microtus montebelli, Apodemus speciosus, and A. argenteus. These are considered to be principal food items for Ural Owls in Japan (Ikeda and Imaizumi 1949, Imaizumi 1968, Matsuoka 1977).

Prey species used to feed the captive owls were snap-trapped in the field, weighed and then frozen at -20°F. The rodents were thawed at room temperature, then fed to the owls. Pellets from the owls were picked up, dried and weighed. Thus we determined the relationship between food consumption and dry pellet weight. This study was conducted from 21 November 1994 to 14 February 1995.
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The quality of each prey species used was evaluated as a caloric value per individual. We sampled five each of the three species. After measuring their fresh body weights, they were dried at 70˚F for at least one day. Caloric values were measured by a Nenken type adiabatic bomb calorimeter on two samples of 1g dry weight. Caloric values were converted to calories per 1g of fresh weight.

**RESULTS**

For captive Ural Owls, dried pellet mass was positively correlated with the fresh weight of ingested food ($r^2 = 0.83, p < 0.01$). This relationship is expressed as:

1. Dried pellet mass (g) = 0.0412 x mass of ingested food (fresh weight in g).

The caloric values per gram fresh weight of the three prey species were not significantly different ($r^2 = 0.98, p < 0.01$). This suggests that body weight is a sufficient index of the quality of each prey species. Prey body weight was positively correlated with caloric value as follows:

2. Caloric value = 1.5762 x fresh prey body weight (g) + 0.28.

From these two linear regressions (equations 1 & 2 above) we obtained an equation for estimating caloric intake from pellet weight as follows:

3. Estimated calorie intake (kcal) = 33.82 x pellet dry weight (g) + 7.38.

We can thus estimate the food consumption of captive Ural Owls from the mass of dry pellets. The effect of owl activity and seasonal changes in energy budgets in this relationship need to be determined before applying it to Ural Owl pellets collected in the wild.
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